## Rearrangement and Orientation in Citran Synthesis. X-Ray Crystal Structures of ( - )-Bruceol and a ( $\pm$ )-Deoxybruceol Derivative

By Michael J. Begley, Leslie Crombie,* David A. Slack, and Donald A. Whiting.* Department of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD

Despite their co-occurrence in Eriostemon brucei, and the similarity between their chiroptical properties, an $X$-ray study confirms the structure of bruceol to be (1) and shows that of deoxybruceol (studied as a dibromo-derivative) to be (3), i.e. their $p$-menthane segments are of opposite orientation relative to the coumarin ring. Strain in these structures is similar to that in the citrans described in the preceding paper.
Two monochromens are formed when 5,7-dihydroxycoumarin is treated with citral and pyridine: one of these is a citran precursor and its orientation is shown by nuclear Overhauser effects. On heating this chromen, rearrangement occurs to give deoxybruceol as the major product; isodeoxybruceol, of the same orientation as the chromen, is the minor product. Reference is made to the curious biosynthetic situation in $E$. brucei.

Isoprenylated coumarins comprise a well-defined subgroup of the meroterpenoids, and many structurally interesting modifications are known. Among these is bruceol (1), from the Australian species Eriostemon brucei
F. Muell (Rutaceae), the first citran to be described. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ Its assignment of structure rests on an $X$-ray study. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ A. M. Duffield, P. R. Jeffries, F. N. Maslen, and A. I. M. Rae, Tetrahedron, 1963, 19, 593.

Co-occurring with bruceol is a second compound, from spectral information shown to be closely related to (1), tacitly accorded structure (2) on the basis of its origins. ${ }^{2,3}$ Indeed, the oxidation $(2) \longrightarrow(1)$ seemed an attractive
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with (-)-deoxybruceol except for optical activity, has been synthesised ${ }^{3}$ by the pyridine-catalysed condensation of citral with 5,7 -dihydroxycoumarin, and this leaves little doubt as to the overall structure though the
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biogenetic hypothesis. Other circumstantial evidence had tended to support this relationship: thus the o.r.d


Figure 1 C.d. spectra in dioxan (a) natural bruceol and (b) natural deoxybruceol in dioxan
curves for bruceol and deoxybruceol are similar, as are the c.d. curves (Figure 1). ( $\pm$ )-Deoxybruceol, identical

[^0]assignment of citran orientation $\lfloor$ i.e. (2) or (3)] still rests on analogy. The rather subtle relationship between chromen and citran orientation is summarised in the preceding paper. ${ }^{4}$ Because of uncertainties involved in the diagnosis of the citran orientation by chemical and spectral means, we have resorted to an $X$-ray crystallographic study to ascertain the orientation of deoxybruceol.

Since supplies of natural (-)-deoxybruceol were scarce, synthetic $( \pm)$-deoxybruceol was investigated, but an acceptable crystal could not be obtained for a directmethods approach. A suitable crystal was obtained, however, from the dibromo-compound. The crystal structure of $( \pm)$-dibromodeoxybruceol was determined from diffractometer data ( 1494 reflections), solved by the heavy-atom method, and refined by least-squares and difference-Fourier methods to $R=7.4 \%$. A general view (Figure 2) of the resulting structure shows that the citran orientation is in fact the reverse of that in natural bruceol (1), and the same as in the acetyl- and formylcitrans of the preceding paper. ${ }^{4}$

For crystallographic purposes the numbering scheme of Figure 3 was adopted; bond lengths and angles are

[^1] D. A. Whiting, preceding paper.
displayed in Figures 4 and 5, together with an indication of their standard deviations. In view of the larger


Figure 2 Dibromodeoxybruceol: general view


Figure 3 Dibromodeoxybruceol: crystallographic numbering scheme


Figure 4 Dibromodeoxybruceol: bond lengths in $\AA$ (largest standard deviation $0.02 \AA$ )
standard deviations (limitations of crystal size), the values of bond lengths and angles are as expected and, where applicable, are similar to those in the two citran structures of the preceding paper. ${ }^{4}$ An exception was the $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(25)$ bond, which appeared rather short


Figure 5 Dibromodeoxybruceol: bond angles (degrees; largest standard deviation $1.0^{\circ}$ ); additional angles $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21)$. $106^{\circ} ; \mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(22), \quad 111^{\circ} ; \mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(18), \quad 115^{\circ}$; $\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(19), 112^{\circ}$
(1.80 $\AA$ ). The occupation fraction of $\operatorname{Br}(25)$ was therefore refined, and converged to 0.704 indicating that $c a$. $30 \%$ of monobromobruceol had co-crystallised with $70 \%$ of the dibromo-derivative. The site of $\operatorname{Br}(25)$ is therefore occupied by a hydrogen atom in $30 \%$ of the molecules. No intermolecular contacts less than the sum of the van der Waals radii were discovered with the exception of a $\operatorname{Br}(23) \cdots \operatorname{Br}\left(25^{\prime}\right)$ contact distance of $3.35 \AA$, which


Figure 6 Dibromodeoxybruceol: arrangement of molecules in unit cell
is less than twice the van der Waals radius for bromine. This close contact is not present in the mono-bromoderivative and is alleviated by the co-crystallisation.

The arrangement of molecules within the unit cell is shown in Figure 6.

Torsion angles are displayed in Table 1. They show considerable distortions from idealised values and are similar to those we have found in other cases. The mean plane through the aromatic ring again reveals a shallow ' boat' conformation with C(6) $0.05 \AA$ and C(9) $0.07 \AA$ above, and $C(5) 0.04 \AA, C(7) 0.00 \AA, C(8) 0.06 \AA$, and $\mathrm{C}(10) 0.02 \AA$ below the plane. The larger standard deviations in this structure make the differences less significant than the cases given in the preceding paper,
afforded three products: the bischromen (6) ( $2 \%$ ) and two monochromens, (7), m.p. $136-138^{\circ}(13 \%)$, and (12), m.p. $113-115^{\circ}(15 \%)$. Unchanged coumarin was also isolated; the yields do not have synthetic significance as conditions were selected merely to minimise product complexity.

Structure (7) was assigned to the higher melting isomer on the basis of a nuclear Overhauser effect (n.O.e.) observed with its methyl ether (8). Irradiation at the OMe frequency resulted in enhancement of the aromatic proton $(36 \%)$ and coumarin $\beta$-proton ( $15 \%$ ) signals. The

Table 1
Torsion angles (degrees) for dibromodeoxybruceol (4)

| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1})-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 6.5 | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(24)$ | -171.8 | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1})-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -0.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -176.3 | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -6.5 | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(25)$ | 178.4 |
| $\mathrm{O}(24)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 171.4 | $\mathrm{O}(24)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(25)$ | -3.7 | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 0.6 |
| $\mathrm{Br}(25)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 175.0 | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 5.7 | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | -177.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $-5.7$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 169.7 | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 177.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-7.5$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -177.2 | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | -2.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -0.1 | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 174.9 | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 179.6 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Br}(23)$ | 5.9 | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 4.1 | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Br}(23)$ | -169.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 6.7 | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(17)$ | -167.4 | $\mathrm{Br}(23)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -169.2 |
| $\mathrm{Br}(23)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(17)$ | 6.7 | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | -14.4 | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -178.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 159.8 | $\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -4.7 | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 159.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -14.5 | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 11.2 | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | -163.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 176.9 | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 2.1 | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | -13.5 | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $-57.1$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | -179.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | - 131.6 | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 43.4 | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | -27.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 98.4 | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | -147.8 | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -26.4 |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 93.4 | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -147.2 | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -27.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 89.2 | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-150.9$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 67.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | -173.3 | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-59.0$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 60.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $-164.5$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $-44.4$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 47.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -68.2 | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(17)$ | $-65.1$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | -177.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 55.7 | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 48.9 | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 169.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-70.0$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-45.2$ | $\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 72.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -173.5 | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 41.3 |  |  |

but the ' boat ' conformation is also confirmed by a study of the deviations from the mean plane of the aromatic ring of the directly substituted atoms. Those substituted at $\mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{C}(8)$, and $\mathrm{C}(10)$, i.e. $\mathrm{C}(4) 0.19 \AA, \operatorname{Br}(23) 0.16$ $\AA, \mathrm{O}(17) 0.36 \AA$, and $\mathrm{O}(11) 0.21 \AA$, are all significantly below the plane; the remaining substituents, $\mathrm{O}(1) 0.10 \AA$ and C(14) $0.00 \AA$, are on or above the plane. The distortion at $\mathrm{C}(9)$ observed earlier ${ }^{4}$ is confirmed by the summation of the bond angles at that atom to $358^{\circ}$. Although the larger standard deviations make this difference from $360^{\circ}$ (for planarity) less statistically significant, it is nevertheless a much larger deviation than is found in the summation of bond angles about any other ' planar ' atom in the structure. In contrast to the aromatic ring, the chromen ring, i.e. $\mathrm{O}(1)$ to $\mathrm{C}(6)$, is planar within the limits of the standard deviations.

With dibromodeoxybruceol correctly represented as (4), the structure of deoxybruceol is (3) and not its regioisomer (2). A more detailed examination of the reaction between 5,7 -dihydroxycoumarin (5) and citral was therefore instituted to isolate intermediate chromens, and to see if the isomer (2) could be obtained.

At $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h , in the presence of pyridine $(2 \mathrm{~mol}$. equiv.), equimolecular proportions of the two reagents

[^2]lower m.p. isomer (12) afforded, with diazomethane, the methyl ether (9), in the n.m.r. spectrum of which the aromatic proton signal was augmented by $21 \%$ when the methoxy-frequency was irradiated. Neither of the coumarins (7) and (12) gives a positive Gibbs test. The absence of the chromen (15) accords with our earlier rationalisation of this and other chromenylations: those regioisomers are favoured which permit maximum delocalisation in the transition state leading to them. ${ }^{5}$

When the chromen (12) was heated in pyridine at 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h , a mixture ( $22 \%$ ) of the two very similar citrans was obtained, along with unchanged chromen $(40 \%)$. The major isomer was isolated pure by crystallisation and was identical with the ( $\pm$ )-deoxybruceol isolated earlier, ${ }^{3}$ and in all spectral characteristics with natural ( - -deoxybruceol. In order to effect a link with the work of the preceding paper, ${ }^{4}$ the formylcitran (10), the assignment of structure and orientation of which is founded on $X$-ray evidence, was converted into ( $\pm$ )deoxybruceol (3) $(69 \%)$, identical with that mentioned above, by treatment with 1-dimethylamino-1,1-dimethoxyethane. ${ }^{6}$ By using this reagent, the isomeric formylcitran (11) was converted into the regioisomer (2) now

[^3]referred to as isodeoxybruceol ( $70 \%$ ); the bischromenylcoumarin (6) from (18) was also made ( $40 \%$ ). Although very similar ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. data are given by (2) and (3), the
of that of deoxybruceol. Figure 8 shows crystallographic numbering, and Figures 9 and 10 show bond lengths and angles together with standard deviations. Bonding to
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Scheme
small shift differences allow detection of their presence in admixture and show that (2) is not structurally the same as natural deoxybruceol.

It is now clear that thermal citran formation from the chromen (12) affords the citrans (3) and (2) in the ratio ca. $7: 3$, i.e. the major isomer is formed via a rearrangement involving electrocyclic ring opening, isomerisation [cf. (13) and (14)], and reclosure in the opposite sense to give the chromen (15). Although the latter was not found in observable quantity at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it apparently serves as the intermediate on the way to the major citran (3). As discussed in the preceding paper, ${ }^{4}$ delocalisation in (17) leading to the major citran (3) is more favourable than in (16), leading to the minor citran (2). Although it was not appreciated in earlier work on the direct thermal citran formation from (5) and citral, ${ }^{3}$ we have now established that a citran mixture similar to that obtained by heating the chromen (12) is formed, and only the major isomer was isolated by crystallisation: the course of the reaction is thus more complex than we envisaged. ${ }^{5}$

The original $X$-ray investigation of bromobruceol ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ was carried out with limited photographic data, and difficulties in refinement, together with degradation of the sample, were encountered. Because of the result obtained for deoxybruceol we have therefore re-determined the structure of natural ( - )-bruceol by direct methods, using 2109 observed reflections, and refining by leastsquares and difference-Fourier methods to $R=3.8 \%$. A general view (Figure 7) of the resulting structure confirms that the orientation of bruceol is indeed the reverse
hydrogen is not shown, but all $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths were in the range $0.91-1.05 \AA(\sigma 0.03 \AA)$ and all bond angles


Figure 7 Bruceol: general view of molecule
involving hydrogen were between 115 and $126^{\circ}$ at $s p^{2}$ hybridised carbon, and between 100 and $114^{\circ}$ at $s p^{3}$ hybridised carbon $\left(\sigma 3.0^{\circ}\right)$. Bond lengths and angles
all adopt values similar to those we have found for other citran structures. Comparison with values obtained in the original study of bromobruceol does not give good


Figure 8 Bruceol: crystallographic numbering scheme


Figure 9 Bruceol: bond lengths in $\AA$ (largest standard deviation $0.004 \AA$ )


Figure 10 Bruceol: bond angles (degrees; largest standard deviation $\left.0.3^{\circ}\right)$; additional angles $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21), 113^{\circ}$; $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(22), \quad 114^{\circ} ; \mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(18), \quad 110^{\circ} ; \mathrm{O}(17)-$ $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(19), 106^{\circ}$
agreement, but is rendered less meaningful by the large standard deviations of the original work. ${ }^{1}$

Torsion angles (Table 2) are in poor agreement with those reported for bromobruceol, ${ }^{7}$ but compare well with those of othel citran types we have examined. The deviations from idealised values, and the resulting strain, are, as would be expected, very similar in the bruceol and deoxybruceol orientations and are unaffected by the additional hydroxy-group. The aromatic ring again adopts the shallow ' boat ' conformation with $\mathrm{C}(6) 0.05 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C}(9) 0.09 \AA$ above the mean plane, and $\mathrm{C}(5) 0.02 \AA$, $\mathrm{C}(7) 0.01 \AA, \mathrm{C}(8) 0.06 \AA$, and $\mathrm{C}(10) 0.05 \AA$ below the plane. The positions of the directly substituted atoms,


Figure 11 Bruceol: arrangement of molecules within the unit cell
with $\mathrm{C}(4) 0.13 \AA, \mathrm{O}(11) 0.30 \AA$, and $\mathrm{O}(17) 0.27 \AA$, below the mean aromatic plane, confirm the situation; $O(1)$ is $0.07 \AA$ above this plane while $C(14)$ is $0.02 \AA$ below the plane owing to the lack of planarity at $C(9)$. Bond angles at $C(9)$ sum to $357.4^{\circ}$, deviating significantly from $360^{\circ}$ (for planarity). The very large deviations from mean planes $(0.34 \AA)$ claimed in bromobruceol, and attributed to general buckling, ${ }^{1}$ were not confirmed. The chromen ring $[\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)]$ was planar, with no atom more than $0.01 \AA$ from the mean plane. Substituents $C(10)$ and $\mathrm{O}(24)$ are also in the plane, but $\mathrm{C}(7)$ is $0.16 \AA$ below this plane because of the 'boat' conformation of the aromatic ring.

The arrangement of molecules within the unit cell is shown in Figure 10 and an intermolecular hydrogen bond $\mathrm{OH}(23) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(24^{\prime}\right), 2.92 \AA$, was found, corresponding to that reported for bromobruceol. ${ }^{1}$ The hydrogen atom $H(23)$ was located in a difference map and refined to a position along the line between these oxygen atoms. An

[^4]intermolecular contact, $\mathrm{C}(4) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(24^{\prime}\right), 3.10 \AA$, was also discovered which is slightly less than the sum of the van der Waals radii, presumably owing to a weak dipolar interaction of the carbonyl group. No other intermolecular contacts less than the sum of van der Waals radii were discovered.

The result of the work in this and the preceding paper is that, although structural and chemical discrepancies have apparently been resolved, a curious situation has developed on the biosynthetic side. Although occurring side by side in $E$. brucei, deoxybruceol (3) and bruceol (1)

## EXPERIMENTAL

Analytical and spectroscopic data are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 22107 ( 25 pp.).* M.p.s were determined with a hot-stage microscope. Silica gel G was used in analytical t.l.c.; for preparative work, silica gel $\mathrm{HF}_{254}$ (ca. 1 mm layers) was employed. Organic solutions were dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated at reduced pressure.

5,7-Dihydroxycoumarin (5).-A stirred mixture of phloroglucinol ( 40.5 g ), ethyl propiolate ( 36.75 g ), and zinc chloride $(34 \mathrm{~g})$ was heated on a steam-bath. After a few minutes the mixture reacted vigorously and was cooled until the

Table 2
Torsion angles for bruceol (1)

| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 0.6 | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(24)$ | $-179.1$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -1.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 175.1 | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-0.9$ | $\mathrm{O}(24)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 178.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 2.3 | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -3.4 | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-179.2$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 3.1 | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-171.3$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 179.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 4.8 | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-178.7$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 4.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 5.4 | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $-171.4$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-179.1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-4.5$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -6.2 | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(17)$ | 172.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 16.2 | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 178.2 | $\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | -162.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -0.8 | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -132.5 | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 46.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-15.5$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 161.2 | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -176.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 0.4 | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | -177.8 | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $-55.8$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $-16.4$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 105.5 | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 158.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-18.5$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 51.3 | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | -68.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 172.9 | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -65.6 | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 51.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 57.3 | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 174.5 | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 179.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | -63.4 | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 70.8 | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -49.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-174.2$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 47.1 | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | -67.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-74.9$ | $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 170.2 | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 67.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-59.4$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-173.5$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 60.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(17)$ | -27.8 | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 86.3 | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $-148.3$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(17)$ | 93.4 | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-152.6$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $-27.1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | -44.9 | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | -165.6 | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -29.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-148.0$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 95.8 | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 44.1 |

cannot now be connected by a simple direct hydroxylation relationship. On the other hand, the orientation found for deoxybruceol corresponds with that of eriobrucinol (19) and hydroxyeriobrucinol (20), which

have also been isolated from this plant. ${ }^{2}$ The similarity in chiroptical properties of natural bruceol and deoxybruceol is also remarkable in view of their differing orientations; it is hoped to examine these strained chromophores and the question of absolute configurations more closely.

[^5]reaction subsided. Careful heating was then continued for 2 h . Cooling and addition of $5 \%$ hydrochloric acid gave a yellow solid, which was filtered off and recrystallised from acetic acid-water to yield needles of 5,7-dihydroxycoumarin (5), m.p. $268-269^{\circ}$ (lit., ${ }^{8} 270^{\circ}$ ) ( $39 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ).

Reaction of 5,7-Dihydroxycoumarin with Citral and Pyr-idine.-5,7-Dihydroxycoumarin ( $2.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0125 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), citral $(1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0125 \mathrm{~mol})$, and pyridine $(1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ were heated with stirring, at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . T.l.c. indicated three products. The resulting brown mixture was diluted with chloroform and chromatographed on silica gel $\mathrm{HF}_{254}$ [preparative plates, $45 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$; elution with benzeneethyl acetate ( $9: 1$ )]. Three products were removed. The most polar was a solid, which crystallised from cyclohexane to yield the monochromen (12), as a light tan powdery solid, m.p. $113-115^{\circ}(618 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%)$. The second product was the isomeric monochromen (7), isolated as a pale yellow solid which crystallised from cyclohexane as yellow needles, m.p. $136-138^{\circ}(511 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%)$. The third most polar compound was isolated as a light yellow gum ( 120 mg , $2 \%)$, identical with an authentic sample ${ }^{3}$ of the bischromen (6) (u.v., i.r., and n.m.r. spectra and t.l.c.).

Action of Heat on the Monochromen (12).-The monochromen (12) ( $624 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was heated in pyridine $\left(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{~mol}\right.$ ) at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 days. The resulting brown solution was chromatographed on silica gel $\mathrm{HF}_{254}$
${ }^{8}$ K. D. Kaufman and R. C. Kelly, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 1965, 2, 91.
[preparative plates, $45 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$; elution with benzeneethyl acetate ( $9: 1$ )]. Two main bands were observed under u.v. irradiation. The least polar of these was obtained as a pale yellow oil ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{2 2} \%$ ). N.m.r. investigation indicated the presence of a mixture of the two isomeric citrans (3) and (2). The major isomer (3) was obtained by crystallisation, and was spectroscopically identical with natural deoxybruceol and synthetic ( $\pm$ )deoxybruceol. 2,3 The minor isomer (2) was not purified from this reaction, but was recognised by comparison of n.m.r. data with those of a pure specimen.

The most polar product was obtained as a yellow solid ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ), and was identical with a sample of the monochromen (12) (n.m.r. and i.r. data, and m.p. and mixed m.p.).

Methylation of the Monochromen (7).-The monochromen (7) ( 380 mg ) was dissolved in dry ether ( 20 ml ). Excess of ethereal diazomethane was added and the solution was kept at room temperature for 24 h . T.1.c. indicated the presence of unchanged monochromen and one product of lower polarity. The solvent was evaporated off and the crude product chromatographed on silica gel $\mathrm{HF}_{254}$ [preparative plates, $45 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$; elution with benzene-ethyl acetate ( $9: 1$ )] yielding the ether (8) as a pale yellow gum ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ).

Methylation of the Monochromen (12).-The monochromen (12) ( 170 mg ) was dissolved in dry ether ( 20 ml ); an excess of ethereal diazomethane was added and the solution set aside at room temperature for 24 h . Work-up as above yielded the ether (9) a pale yellow gum ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ).

Bromination of $( \pm)$-Deoxybruceol.-( $\pm$ )-Deoxybruceol $(70 \mathrm{mg})$ in dioxan ( 10 ml ) containing sodium carbonate $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ was treated with bromine ( 50 mg ) in dioxan $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ until a yellow colour persisted for 15 min . Work-up gave a product which crystallised from benzene-n-hexane ( 54 mg ) and was shown by n.m.r. to be a mixture of monoand di-bromo-derivatives. Further crystallisation gave material with m.p. $164-166^{\circ}$. A crystal was selected for $X$-ray analysis.

Coumarin Syntheses with 1-Dimethylamino-1,1-dimethoxy-ethane.-(a) The formylbischromen (18) ( 250 mg ) was dissolved in dry ether ( 4 ml ) under dry nitrogen, and 1-dimethylamino-1,1-dimethoxyethane ( 0.2 ml ) was then added through a septum. The solution was heated under reflux for 2 h . The ether was removed and the residue chromatographed on silica gel $\mathrm{HF}_{254}$ [preparative plates, $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$; n-hexane-ether (3:1) as eluant]. Only one product was isolated, obtained as a yellow oil ( 120 mg , $45 \%$ ), identical (n.m.r., i.r., and u.v. spectra and t.l.c.) with an authentic sample of the bischromen (6).
(b) The formylcitran (10) ( 104 mg ) was dissolved in dry ether ( 4 ml ) under dry nitrogen, and 1-dimethylamino-1,1-dimethoxyethane $(0.2 \mathrm{ml})$ was then added. The solution was heated under reflux for 2 h , the ether was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel $\mathrm{HF}_{254}$ [preparative plates, $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$; benzene-ethyl acetate ( $5: 1$ ) as eluant]. Only one product was isolated, obtained as a white solid ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ), identical (m.p., mixed m.p., n.m.r., i.r., and u.v. spectra and t.l.c.) with authentic ( $\pm$ )-deoxybruceol (3).
(c) The formylcitran (11) ( 104 mg ) was treated as in experiment (b). (土)-Isodeoxybruceol (2) was isolated from $\mathrm{HF}_{254}$ plates as a white solid, m.p. 146-148 ${ }^{\circ}$.
${ }^{9}$ J. M. Stewart, F. A. Kindell, and J. C. Baldwin, University of Maryland Technical Report, TR67-58, 1967, revised 1970.

Crystallographic Analyses of Dibromodeoxybruceol (4) and Bruceol (1).-Oscillation and Weissenberg photographs were taken to establish unit-cell dimensions and space group. For intensity measurement a crystal of dimensions ca. $0.5 \times 0.15 \times 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}(4)$ or $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}(1)$ was mounted on an automatic, computer-controlled, fourcircle diffractometer. Only small, thin specimens of (4) were available. Unit-cell dimensions were refined by a least-squares fit on the positions of 12 peaks found on the diffractometer. Intensity data were collected with Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation using an $\omega-2 \theta$ scan for $2 \theta<50^{\circ}$ (4), of which most reflections with $2 \theta>40^{\circ}$ were unobserved, or for $2 \theta<60^{\circ}$ (1). Reflections with a net count greater than $3.0 \sigma$ were considered observed and used in the subsequent structure refinement. Totals of 3097 (4) and 2685 (1) independent reflections were measured, of which 1494 (4) or 2109 (1) were considered observed. No absorption corrections were made. Data reduction and subsequent crystallographic calculations were performed using the ' $X$-Ray ' 70 ' system of programs. ${ }^{9}$ Atomic scattering factors were taken from ref. 10.

Crystal data. Dibromodeoxybruceol (4). $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, $M=470.185$. Monoclinic, $a=10.338(3), \quad b=9.624(3)$, $c=18.304(4), \quad \beta=105.49(2)^{\circ}, \quad U=1755.10 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4$, $D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.78 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad F(000)=936$. Space group $P 2_{1} / c$ uniquely from systematic absences; Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA ; \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=49.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Bruceol (1). $\quad \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}, M=328.369$. Orthorhombic, $a=10.343(3), b=12.014(4), c=13.093(4), U=1626.88$ $\AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=696$. Space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ uniquely from systematic absences; Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA ; \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=1.04 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Initial attempts at interpretation of the Patterson synthesis of (4) were unsuccessful because they were based on an assumption that only one bromine atom was present in the major reaction product. Several attempts at solution by direct methods also failed. Finally a set of phases from direct methods suggested an interpretation of the Patterson map based on two bromine atoms with nearly identical $y$ and $z$ co-ordinates, and that the crystalline product was the dibromo-derivative. A subsequent Fourier synthesis based on these two bromine positions revealed the remaining non-hydrogen atom positions in the structure. The structure of (1) was solved by direct methods using the Multan ${ }^{11}$ program. 250 reflections with $E>1.58$ were used, and the best set of phases produced had a figure of merit 1.0807. A subsequent $E$ map, based on these phases, revealed all non-hydrogen atoms as the largest peaks on the map.

Block-diagonal least-squares refinements of atomic positions were commenced, initially with isotropic temperature factors and later including anisotropic vibrations. After apparent convergence with agreement factor $R 13.6 \%$ (4) or $7.4 \%$ (1), difference-Fourier syntheses were calculated. For (4) this revealed a large hole in the neighbourhood of the coumarin bromine atom $\operatorname{Br}(25)$. This atom also had large temperature factors and a short $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond length, and it was therefore assumed that some monobromoderivative had co-crystallized. Accordingly its population fraction was allowed to vary in subsequent refinement. The map also revealed positive areas in the neighbourhood

[^6]of expected hydrogen atom positions. The positions of the hydrogen atoms were then calculated from bond length and angle considerations and included in subsequent structure factor calculations with fixed isotropic temperature factors ( $B=5.0$ ) without refinement. The difference map for (1) revealed the approximate positions of all the hydrogen atoms as the largest peaks in the map. The hydrogen atoms were then included in the refinement with isotropic temperature factors. Analyses of the agreement of $F_{0}$ and $F_{0}$ suggested the adoption of weighting schemes in the form $w=1 /\left\{1+\left[\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-50.0\right) / 30.0\right]^{2}\right\}$ (4) or $w=1$ for $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| \leqslant 10.0$ with $w=\left(10.0 /\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|\right)^{2}$ for $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|>10.0$ (1).

## Table 3

Dibromodeoxybruceol (4): atomic co-ordinates with their standard deviations in parentheses; hydrogen atoms are numbered according to the atom to which they are bonded

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.4412(7)$ | 0.388 1(8) | $0.6009(4)$ |
| C(2) | $0.5796(12)$ | $0.4103(13)$ | 0.6148 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 0.643 9(11) | 0.345 9(12) | 0.563 4(6) |
| C(4) | 0.576 6(11) | 0.2575 (11) | $0.5094(5)$ |
| C(5) | 0.4417 7(10) | 0.226 5(11) | 0.5012 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.3711(10)$ | $0.2965(11)$ | 0.5448 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 0.2387 7(10) | 0.289 7(11) | $0.5337(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 0.162 3(11) | 0.199 4(11) | $0.4772(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 0.2338 (10) | 0.112 6(10) | 0.4425 5(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 0.364 2(10) | $0.1310(9)$ | 0.448 3(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 0.4238 (7) | 0.0654 (7) | 0.398 6(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 0.343 9(10) | 0.068 1(10) | $0.3177(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $0.1932(11)$ | 0.077 3(10) | 0.309 2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $0.1668(11)$ | 0.013 1(11) | 0.3807 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 0.020 6(12) | -0.000 8(13) | 0.3771 (6) |
| C(16) | -0.038 7(10) | 0.144 7(12) | 0.378 3(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(17)$ | 0.028 5(7) | 0.2063 (8) | 0.4538 8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | -0.183 8(12) | 0.147 6(14) | 0.378 4(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | -0.012 2(12) | 0.229 1(12) | $0.3139(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $0.1311(10)$ | 0.223 7(11) | 0.3007 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 0.402 5(12) | 0.188 5(11) | 0.2810 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 0.3804 (12) | -0.067 7(12) | $0.2862(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Br}(23)$ | 0.143 .5 (1) | 0.408 5(2) | 0.583 3(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(24)$ | 0.6280 (9) | 0.4798 (9) | $0.6710(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Br}(25)$ | 0.820 8(2) | 0.3853 (2) | 0.5850 (1) |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 0.626 | 0.213 | 0.474 |
| $\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 0.156 | 0.016 | 0.264 |
| $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 0.194 | -0.089 | 0.384 |
| H(15A) | 0.009 | -0.058 | 0.421 |
| H(15B) | -0.030 | -0.052 | 0.328 |
| H(18A) | -0.197 | 0.094 | 0.423 |
| H(18B) | -0.213 | 0.248 | 0.384 |
| H(18C) | -0.241 | 0.109 | 0.330 |
| H (19A) | -0.040 | 0.329 | 0.319 |
| H (19B) | -0.080 | 0.193 | 0.264 |
| $\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.192 | 0.291 | 0.338 |
| $\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.128 | 0.263 | 0.247 |
| H (21A) | 0.380 | 0.280 | 0.302 |
| H (21B) | 0.503 | 0.180 | 0.294 |
| $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.365 | 0.187 | 0.226 |
| $\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.345 | -0.147 | 0.310 |
| H(22B) | 0.483 | $-0.077$ | 0.298 |
| $\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.344 | -0.070 | 0.230 |

Further refinement finally converged when the largest parameter shifts were $<0.7 \sigma$, lowering $R$ to $7.4 \%$ (4) or $3.8 \%$ (1) after totals of 18 cycles (4) or 16 cycles (1). In (4) the population fraction of $\operatorname{Br}(25)$ refined to 0.704 (4) and its temperature factors reverted to values similar to
those of the other bromine atom. Thus the crystal examined consisted of $70 \%$ of the dibromo-derivative cocrystallised with $30 \%$ of the monobromo-derivative.

## Table 4

Bruceol (1): atomic co-ordinates with their standard deviations in parentheses; hydrogens are numbered according to the atom to which they are bonded

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.1659(1)$ | -0.124 0(1) | $0.3917(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.141 3(2) | $-0.2184(2)$ | 0.4473 3(2) |
| C(3) | 0.2020 (2) | -0.227 7 2 ) | $0.5461(2)$ |
| C(4) | 0.2808 (2) | -0.1473(2) | $0.5811(1)$ |
| C(5) | 0.3027 (2) | -0.0487(1) | 0.523 0(1) |
| C(6) | 0.2458 (2) | -0.040 6(1) | $0.4265(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.2724(2)$ | 0.043 3(1) | 0.357 3(1) |
| C(8) | 0.354 5(2) | $0.1272(1)$ | 0.3909 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0.3972(2)$ | 0.1325 (1) | 0.4916 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 0.3847 (2) | 0.039 4(1) | 0.5529 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 0.4545 (1) | 0.0271 (1) | 0.6397 (1) |
| C (12) | 0.569 7(2) | 0.098 0(2) | 0.647 8(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 0.5310 (2) | 0.218 7(2) | 0.626 6(2) |
| C(14) | 0.488 2(2) | 0.2253 (1) | $0.5162(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 0.597 9(2) | 0.2071 (2) | 0.438 6(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 0.5411 (2) | 0.2302 (2) | $0.3307(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(17)$ | $0.3987(1)$ | 0.2076 (1) | 0.3258 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 0.5493 (3) | 0.353 9(2) | $0.3057(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 0.6015 (3) | 0.1610 (3) | 0.2460 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 0.654 8(2) | $0.0904(2)$ | $0.4567(2)$ |
| C(21) | $0.6765(2)$ | 0.066 0(2) | $0.5711(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $0.6169(2)$ | 0.077 6(2) | $0.7562(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 0.424 3(2) | 0.256 0(1) | $0.6861(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(24)$ | 0.070 4(2) | $-0.2861(1)$ | 0.407 4(1) |
| H(3) | $0.184(2)$ | -0.294(2) | 0.583(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 0.321 (2) | -0.153(2) | 0.648 (2) |
| H(7) | 0.236(2) | 0.037(2) | 0.289(2) |
| H(13) | 0.610(2) | 0.264(2) | 0.639(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 0.446(2) | 0.295(2) | 0.503(2) |
| H(15) | 0.665(2) | 0.262(2) | 0.450(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(18 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.517 (3) | $0.399(3)$ | 0.355 (2) |
| H (18B) | $0.505(4)$ | $0.371(3)$ | 0.247 (3) |
| H (18C) | 0.617(4) | $0.373(3)$ | $0.291(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.563(3) | 0.184(3) | 0.182 (2) |
| H (19B) | 0.699(3) | 0.176(3) | 0.240(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.579(3) | 0.076(3) | 0.255 (2) |
| H (20A) | $0.593(2)$ | $0.031(2)$ | $0.425(2)$ |
| H (20B) | 0.742 (3) | 0.084(2) | 0.425(2) |
| H (21A) | 0.752(3) | 0.101(3) | 0.597(2) |
| H(21B) | 0.696(2) | -0.007(2) | $0.584(2)$ |
| H (22A) | 0.638(3) | -0.003(3) | 0.765 (2) |
| H(22B) | 0.696(3) | 0.120(2) | $0.762(2)$ |
| H(22C) | 0.560(3) | $0.101(3)$ | 0.804(2) |
| H(23) | 0.435(3) | 0.257(3) | 0.744(3) |

Final difference maps were calculated which showed no peaks or depressions $>0.6 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ (4) or $0.2 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ (1). In (4) the largest features were associated with the bromine atom positions. Final atomic co-ordinates are listed in Tables 3 and 4; temperature factors and observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 22107.
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